	IAH3TODS	Sentence	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
2			
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	4,	
4	V.		16 CR 749 (RA)
5	DONOVAN TODD,		
6	Defendant.		
7		x	
8			New York, N.Y. October 17, 2018
9			3:00 p.m.
10	Before:		
11	HON. RONNIE ABRAMS,		
12			District Judge
13 14	ADDUADANGUG		
	APPEARANCES		
15	GEOFFREY S. BERMAN  United States Attorney for the		
16 17	Southern District of New York NATHAN M. REHN Assistant United States Attorney		
18	COLSON LAW PLLC		
19	Attorneys for Defendant DEBORAH A. COLSON		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

THE DEPUTY CLERK: United States of America v. Donovan Todd, docket no. 16 CR 749. Counsel, please state your name for the record.

MR. REHN: Good afternoon, your Honor. Thane Rehn appearing for the United States.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MS. COLSON: Good afternoon, your Honor. Deborah Colson for Mr. Todd.

THE COURT: Good afternoon. Good afternoon to you, Mr. Todd.

THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon.

THE COURT: We're here for a sentencing. Mr. Todd pled guilty before Magistrate Judge Aaron on October 16 to conspiring to commit wire fraud in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349, and that's a plea that I formally accepted by way of order back in September.

In connection with today's proceeding, I reviewed the following submissions: The presentence investigation report dated October 10, Mr. Todd's sentencing memorandum dated October 11 with accompanying letters as well as the October 16 letter from counsel as well, and the government's sentencing memorandum also dated October 16.

Have the parties received each of these submissions and have they been filed on the docket?

MR. REHN: Yes, your Honor.

1 MS. COLSON: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: So let's begin by discussing the 2 3 presentence report prepared by the probation department. Ms. Colson, have you reviewed the presentence report and 4 5 discussed it with your client? 6 MS. COLSON: I have, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: I understand that you object to the portion with respect to how long he's been in custody. Is that 8 9 right? 10 MS. COLSON: That's right. 11 THE COURT: And the government, you don't contest he's 12 been in custody for approximately seven months, do you? 13 Your Honor, we had passed along the MR. REHN: 14 correspondence we received from the Canadian authorities at the time of his extradition. I saw yesterday with Ms. Colson's 15 letter that they received additional documentation suggesting 16 17 he had been in custody longer than the documentation we 18 originally received. I don't have any reason to doubt the authenticity of that. 19 20 THE COURT: So will you just direct me to the 21 particular paragraph that's in the presentence report.

MS. COLSON: It is on the first page where it says "release status."

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: What would you propose changing?

MS. COLSON: It says that he was in custody from

August 13 until August 30, which is correct. But I would also add that he surrendered himself again and was in custody from March 21 to June 12.

THE COURT: That's actually on the next page, although it says July 12. It says, "According to the defendant, he surrendered to authorities in Canada from March 21 and remained until his extradition."

MS. COLSON: What the difference is that on the first page, it says, "As per the government and the Ministry of Community Safety and Correction in Toronto, he was in custody from August 13 to August 30 and then, according to the defendant, he returned to custody," but I believe based on the documentation we received the other day, the Ministry of Community Safety and Corrections in Toronto now confirms he returned to custody, and as the government just stated on the record, they don't dispute that.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to just add in the fact that it is according to the defendant and the Ministry of Community Safety and Corrections?

MS. COLSON: Sure.

THE COURT: Okay. So we'll just add that in on this page. Do you have any other objections?

MS. COLSON: The only other objection is to the date of birth on page two. It says that he was born in 1994, when he was actually born in 1993. And I think that may have been

the source of at least part of the confusion at the Canadian Ministry.

THE COURT: I'll change that to 1993 as well. I understand that the name may have been part of the confusion as well.

MS. COLSON: That's right.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Todd, did you have enough time and opportunity to discuss the presentence report with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: To review it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

THE COURT: Does the government have any objections?

MR. REHN: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: So the Court adopts the factual findings in the report with the modifications just made. The presentence report will be made a part of the record in this matter and placed under seal. If an appeal is taken, counsel on appeal may have access to the sealed report without further application to the Court.

Mr. Todd, when you pled guilty in August, you discussed the federal sentencing guidelines with Magistrate Judge Aaron. As you know, they are a set of rules published by the sentencing commission in order to guide judges when they impose sentence. And although at one time they were mandatory,

IAH3TODS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

meaning judges were required to follow them, judges are no longer bound by the guidelines, but judges must nonetheless consider the quidelines and we must ensure they've been properly calculated.

In the plea agreement the parties agree Mr. Todd is facing a guideline range of 27 to 33 months, a range adopted by the PSR. Based on the parties' agreement and my independent evaluation of the guidelines, I accept that guideline calculation. Accordingly, I find Mr. Todd's offense level is 18, his criminal history category is I, and his recommended quideline sentence is 27 to 33 months in prison.

As I said a moment ago, that range is only advisory. Courts can impose a sentence outside that range based on one of two legal concepts: A departure or a variance. A departure allows for a sentence outside the advisory range based on some provision of the quidelines. In the plea agreement, both parties agreed that no departure from the guidelines range is warranted. Nevertheless, I've considered whether there is an appropriate basis for departure from the advisory range within the guideline system. And while recognizing that I have the authority to depart, I don't find any ground warranting departure under the guidelines.

I do, though, have the power to impose a non-quideline sentence which is called a variance pursuant to 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a).

So, with respect to that, I'll just hear from the parties. Does the government wish to be heard?

MR. REHN: Unless the Court has questions, I would rest on our submission.

THE COURT: You don't dispute he was only involved in this conspiracy -- that his actions only took place on one day?

MR. REHN: That's correct, your Honor. We say that in our submission.

THE COURT: Got it. Okay. Ms. Colson, would you like to be heard?

MS. COLSON: Yes, briefly, your Honor. I would ask that you do vary from the guidelines and sentence Mr. Todd to time served. As we have already stated on the record, including time he spent in custody in Canada, he has now been detained for seven months.

Mr. Todd is just 24 years old. He was only 22 when the crime was committed. This is his first offense, and we believe his risk of recidivism is quite low. He's a smart and talented young man. He has a supportive mother and girlfriend back in Canada where he was raised, and he has very solid and feasible plans for his future. And it is clear from his letter that he has learned a valuable lesson during the seven months he has spent incarcerated.

Finally, your Honor, while the guidelines do call for 27 to 33 months, they are based on a loss calculation that

takes into account the full amount of the loss to Apple during the entire period of the conspiracy. And as your Honor just stated, Mr. Todd himself was only involved for one day, and the loss that he is individually responsible for is significantly lower than the total amount.

I would note for the record that your Honor has already sentenced several co-conspirators of Mr. Todd's, and the ones whose conduct most closely mirror his all received sentences of time served.

So for those reasons, we would ask your Honor also sentence Mr. Todd to time served.

THE COURT: Mr. Todd, is there anything you'd like to say today? I read your letter.

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. I'm truly sorry for my actions.

And I understand it was bad decision making, and I'll do

everything that I can to make sure it never happens again.

That's it.

THE COURT: Thank you. Is there any reason why sentence cannot be imposed at this time?

MR. REHN: No, your Honor.

MS. COLSON: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: So I am required to consider the advisory guidelines range of 27 to 33 months as well as various other factors set forth in the prevision of law I mentioned earlier, Section 3553(a) of Title 18. I've done so. Those factors

IAH3TODS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

include, but are not limited to, the nature and circumstances of the offense and the personal history and characteristics of the defendant, because every defendant must be considered individually as a person. Judges are also required to consider the need for the sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the offense, promote respect for the law, provide just punishment for the offense, afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct, protect the public from future crimes of the defendant, and avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities, among other things.

As I've noted in other sentencings in this case, the Apple conspiracy involved at least 10 co-conspirators who collectively perpetrated this scheme to defraud Apple dozens of times around the country, causing over \$350,000 in actual losses.

As I just noted, Mr. Todd, you were involved in the scheme just for a day, on March 15 of 2016. understand it, participated only in three of the fraudulent purchases totaling over \$18,000 in merchandise from Apple stores.

I think it's important to recognize Mr. Todd's limited role in the offense, and that he was not a part of any other schemes, as some of the other co-defendants were. I've also considered the fact that prior to this offense, you have no criminal history, Mr. Todd, or history of violence. You've

pled guilty fairly quickly after you got here, and seemed to be truly remorseful for your limited role in the scheme. So the recidivism in my view seems unlikely.

In your letter to the Court, you state that being in jail has not only made you learn your lesson, but has given you a newfound appreciation for freedom. I hope that's true.

I've read the letters from your loved ones who describe you as friendly and considerate and respectful and caring and hardworking. I don't have any reason to doubt any of that. And that you are a devoted son and boyfriend.

Mr. Todd has been detained for approximately seven months. He'll likely be detained further in ICE custody. Frankly, I think that's more than enough.

So I've considered all those factors as well as the other factors set forth in the law, including the need to avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities, and I'm ready to impose sentence.

Mr. Todd, can you please stand. It is the judgment of this Court that you be sentenced to a term of time served. I believe this sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes of sentencing set forth in the law.

Although I do expect you'll be deported, I am nonetheless going to impose a term of one year of supervised release as I did for many of the other co-defendants, to the

extent that he remains here.

If you'd like, you could be seated while I describe the conditions of the supervised release as well as other details of your sentence.

So all the standard conditions of supervised release shall apply. Ms. Colson, I'm not going to read them all out loud unless you'd like me to. They are on page 21 and 22 of the presentence report.

MS. COLSON: That's fine, your Honor.

THE COURT: The mandatory conditions shall apply. You shall not commit another federal, state, or local crime. You must not unlawfully possess a controlled substance. You must refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance. You must submit to one drug test within 15 days of release from imprisonment and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter as determined by the court. And you must cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer.

I'm also going to impose the special conditions of supervised release recommended by probation department. In light of your immigration status, I'm going to order you to obey the immigration laws and comply with the directives of immigration authorities. In light of the nature of the crime, I'm going to order you to provide the probation office with access to requested financial information. And in addition to order you to submit your person, residence, place of business,

vehicle, and any property or electronic devices under your control to a search on the basis that the probation officer has reasonable suspicion to believe that contraband or evidence of a violation of the conditions of your supervised release may be found. The search must be conducted at a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner. Failure to submit to search may be grounds for revocation. And you must inform any other residents that the premises may be subject to search pursuant to this condition. And you will be supervised in the district your residence. Again, to the extent that you remain here.

I decline to impose a fine. The probation office has reported you are unable to pay one. I am imposing a mandatory special assessment of \$100 which must be paid immediately.

So let's talk about forfeiture and restitution. I don't think I have a forfeiture order here, but I understand there is a consent order. Is that right?

MR. REHN: Yes, your Honor. Because the plea was before the magistrate judge, it wasn't entered at the time of the plea. I neglected to bring an order with me to court today. I would propose that the Court enter an oral order of forfeiture, the parties have consented to the amount that's in the PSR, and I'll submit the written order later today.

THE COURT: I'm going to order that you are subject to a forfeiture order of \$18,438.61, which is an amount I understand you consented to. And is there a restitution order

in addition or is there one that you intend to submit? 1 MR. REHN: As with the prior defendants in the case, 2 3 I'll submit a written restitution order within 60 days. THE COURT: Is that on consent as well? 4 5 MR. REHN: We will confer, but I expect it will be. 6 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Does either counsel 7 know of any legal reason why this sentence cannot be imposed as Ms. Colson? 8 stated? 9 MS. COLSON: No, your Honor. Thank you. 10 MR. REHN: No, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: So that's the sentence of this Court. 12 have a right to appeal your conviction and sentence, except to 13 whatever extent you may have validly waived that right as part 14 of your plea agreement. If you do choose to appeal, notice of 15 appeal must be filed within 14 days of the judgment of 16 conviction. If you are not able to pay the cost of an appeal, 17 you may apply for leave to appeal in forma pauperis, which just 18 means that court costs such as filing fees will be waived. 19 you request, the clerk of court will prepare and file a notice 20 of appeal on your behalf.

Are there any open counts or underlying indictments that need to be dismissed?

MR. REHN: I believe he is named on underlying indictments.

THE COURT: That will be dismissed.

21

22

23

24

25

So Mr. Todd, I don't think people should be defined by the worst mistake they've ever made. I don't think you should define yourself by this. I think you should take it as a lesson and a situation that you don't want to be in again. You know, in your letter, you wrote that you were a man anxious to get home to his mother, friends, and girlfriend so he can continue to love and care for them. I hope that with their help, that you'll get back on the right track, return to Canada, and lead a law-abiding life going forward. And I wish you luck with that. Are there any other applications at this time?

MR. REHN: None from the government.

MS. COLSON: No, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. We are adjourned.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

(Adjourned)

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25